Prediction of Ultimate Strength of Steel-Concrete Composite Beams with Metal Deck Slab Using Artificial Neural Network Rana Auda Mtasher Department of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Basrah, Iraq.

Abstract: This paper explores the potential of using artificial neural networks to predict the ultimate moment capacity of steel-concrete composite beam with metal deck slab. Basic information on artificial neural networks and parameters suitable for analysis of experimental results are given. A multilayer Backpropagation neural network is used for training and testing the experimental data. A comparison study between the experimental values and two models (neural network and AISC models) is also carried out. It was found that the neural network model provides better results. The proposed neural network is also used to explore the effect of the various parameters on the

تقدير المقاومة القصوى للعتيات ألمركبه حديد كونكريت ذات الطابق الحديدي باستخدام الشبكات العصبية الصناعية رنا عوده مطشر

قسم الهندسة المدنية، كلية الهندسة، جامعة البصرة، العراق.

الخلاصة: يستكشف هذا البحث أمكانية استخدام الشبكات العصبية في أيجاد العزم الأقصبي للعتبات المركبة حديد و كونكريت ذات الصفائح الحديدية بالاعتماد على نتائج الفحص العملي. وضحت الدر اسة الحالية المطومات الأساسية عن مفهوم الشبكات العصبية الصناعية وكذلك بعض العوامل المناسبة لتطبل نتائج الفحص العملية. استخدمت الشبكات العسبية ذات الإرجاع العكسي في تدريب وفحص النتائج العطية. كذلك أجريت مقارنة مابين النتائج العملية وكلا من طريقتي الثبيكات العصبية و AISC. أظهرت نتائج المقارنة أن الشبكات العصبية الصناعية توفر لمتاتج أفضل. استخدمت الشبكة المقترحة في در اسة تأثير العوامل المختلفة على سلوك العتبات المركبة.

1- Introduction:-

Composite construction using steel and concrete has been used since the early 1920 s. It gained wide spread use in bridges in the 1950 s and in buildings in the 1960 s [1]. In composite beams, the

steel and concrete are joined by mechanical connections, the most popular form being welded headed shear studs. The shear studs are welded to the top flange of steel beam to transfer shear and

Basrah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

مجلة البصيرة للطوم الهندمنية /العدد الثاني/ 2010

the two normal forces hetween sustaining the components, thereby composite action. A composite beam which is shown in Fig.(1) has greater strength and stiffness than if the steel and concrete behaviour independently [2]. The concrete slab may be solid as shown in Fig.(1.a) or with metal deck known as "ribbed slab" as shown in Fig.(1.b) [2]. Because of the advantages of the ribbed slab it becomes common used on construction. Nowadays approximately 60% of all new multi-story building and bridge in the UK used metal deck slab in construction [4].

The ribbed slab consist of lightgage, ribbed metal deck forms which interact with structural concrete topping as a composite unit to resist floor loads Fig.(2). Special embossments, dimples or lugs cold-rolled into the decking increase bond and act as shear connectors. Uplift is prevented either by the shape of the profile or by inclining the lugs to the vertical in opposite directions, on the two sides of the rib. It is usual practice to design the slab as simply supported beam, for the ultimate limit state (with the metal decking acting as reinforcement steel in the span direction), even though the slab and the decking may be continuous over the floor beams. The slab is usually provided with square mesh steel reinforcement at, or above, mid depth of the slab to minimize

cracking due to shrinkage and temperature effects and to help distribute concentrated loads.

The thickness of metal deck plate element usually various from 0.83 mm to 2.51 mm, so metal deck slab is economic which very thin decking is used. The effects of corrosion on steel sheets about 2 mm thick are more sever than on thicker sections, so the material is usually galvanized. There is evidence that the galvanizing process can increase the yield strength of steel sheet by as much as 20% [4].



The advantage of the metal deck slab system is the elimination of the formwork, i

Basrah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

increase in speed of construction, act as slab reinforcement, save up till 30% concrete material, accommodate service ducks, and ease transportation and installation. Also, it acts as a diaphragm to help stabilize the steel skeleton by integrating all members into a system.



2- Design of composite Beam with Ribbed Slab:-

For design purpose, a single steel beam is assumed to act compositely with an effective width of concrete slab, which is limited by the influence of shear lug. According to AISC the procedure for calculation ultimate moment capacity based on rectangular stress block for both full and partial interaction. This procedure gives three equations for the ultimate moment capacity, based on the location of plastic neutral axis (PNA). When the PNA is in steel web the ultimate moment capacity is given by: $M=M_p-(C/P_{yw})^2M_{pw}+Ce$ (1) where: M_p : steel section plastic moment, C: compressive force in concrete slab, P_{yw} : web yield force, M_{pw} : web plastic moment, e: distance from center of steel section to the center of compressive stress block in the slab. The force C is given by:

$C=\min[C^*, T, S]$	(2)
C [*] =0.85 f _c b t	
$T=A_s f_y$	(4)
S=N Q	(5)

where, f_c : cylinder compressive strength of concrete, b: width of concrete slab, t: thickness of concrete slab, A_s : area of steel beam, f_y : yielding strength of steel beam, N: number of connectors over half span length, Q: shear capacity of one connector. The distance e is given by:

Many research programs, both experimental and analytical have led to an understanding of the behaviour of composite beams with metal deck slab and evaluating of the ultimate moment capacity of them. These research programs were based on mathematical models which are complex for practical use. In this paper an attempt is made to use an alternative approach known as Artificial Neural Network in which no mathematical model

Basrab Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

حجلة اليصرة للطوم الهندسية /العد: الثاني/ 2010

is required to analyse composite beam with metal deck slab.

An artificial neural network has found wide application in all fields of science including structural engineering. The first application of neural network in structural engineering goes back only to the end 1980. Since then wide range of application have emerged. Vanluchen and Sun [1990] [5] used neural network to model simple reinforced concrete beam behaviour subject to bending moment. Jankins [1997] [6] applied a neural network based method to the approximate analysis of grillage structure. Guang and Wang [2000] [7] used artificial neural network to predict the compressive strength of concrete. Hadi [2002] [8] using neural network to design of reinforced concrete beam. Pathak and Gupta [2006] [9] used neural networks for preliminary design of tubular girder bridge deck.

3- Artificial Neural Network (ANN):-

Neural networks are problem solving programs modeled on the human brain. Neural network technology mimics the brain's own problem solving process. Similar to how humans apply knowledge gained from past experience to new problems or situations. A neural network takes previously solved examples to build a system of 'neurons' that make new decisions, classification, and forecasts [10].

Neural networks are networks of many simple processes which are called units, nodes, or neurons, with dense parallel interconnections. The connections between the neurons are called synapses or weight. Each neuron receives weighted input from other neurons and communicates its outputs to other neurons by using an activation function. Thus, information is represented by massive cross-weighted interconnections. Neural networks might be single or multilayer. The connection weights of the neural network are adjusted through the training process, while the training effect is referred to as learning. Training of neural networks usually involves modifying connection weights by means of learning rule. The learning process is done by giving weights and biases computed. In other words, neural networks learn from examples and exhibit some capability for generalization beyond the training data. Then, other testing data are used to check the generalization. The initial weights and biases joining nodes of an input layer, hidden layer, and an output layer are assigned randomly. The commonly weights and blases are changed for the output of networks to match required data values. As input data are passed through hidden layer, (tansigmoid, logsigmoid,

purelin) activation functions are generally used. Figure (3) present simple architectural layout of the backpropagation networks that consist of an input layer, one hidden layer, an output layer, and connections between them.

The corresponding architecture for the backpropagation learning incorporates both the forward and backward phases of the computations involved in the learning process. The learning mechanism of the backpropagation networks is a generalized delta rule that performs a gradient descent on the error space to minimize the total error between the calculated and the desired one of an output layer during modification of connection weight. In other words, a least mean square error is carried out to find the values of the connection weights that minimize the error Resilient function by. using backpropagation method.

4- Neural Network-Based Modeling of Ultimate Moment

Capacity of Composite Beam with Metal Deck Slab

Resilient this study. а In backpropagation neural network was used to product the ultimate moment capacity of composite beam with metal deck slab. During the process of learning the mean square error (MSE) is monitored the network instantaneously to achieve better understanding of the network performance [11]. Details on the establishment of neural network models for composite beam, a longwith sources of the data that are used in development, are described below.

5-Generation of Data and System Model:-

In general, a good training data set should include comprehensive information about the characteristics of material behaviour. In this study, the experimental data include 90 results, which are taken from the test carried out by Grant etal.[13],Robinson and Wallace [14],



Basrah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

مجلة البصيرة للعلوم الهندسية /العدد الثَّاني/ 2010

Fisher [15], Seek et al. [16], Errera [17], Fulong and Henderson [18], Allan etal.[19], Jones [20], Lacap [21], Robinson [22], Jayas and Hosain [23], and Gibbings etal. [24]. A mong the collected data 75 selected randomly are used as training data, and the remaining 15 are regarded as testing data.

From the previous studies eight major variables are adopted to model the behaviour of composite beam. These variables are as follows:-

be: concrete slab width.

t_e: concrete slab depth.

 f_c : cylinder compressive strength of concrete.

i: moment of inertia of steel section.

 f_v : yielding strength of steel section.

S: connectors strength.

hr: steel deck height.

wr: steel deck width.

So the input layer of the neural network consists of eight processing nodes (units) representing these eight variables, and the output layer includes one neuron representing the ultimate moment capacity of beams.

6- Training and Testing of Network:

As mentioned earlier, the network configuration is defined in terms of number, size, nodal properties, ect. of the input/output and intermediate hidden

layers, once the input and output are decided to cater the present investigation requirements, the task of selecting a suitable configuration has been taken up. In this study, the network configuration the watching after arrived was performance of different configurations. Then, learning parameters were changed and learning process were repeated. In addition, to avoid over-training the convergence criterion adopted in this study depends on whether the MSE of testing data has reached its minimum. Before the neural networks are trained, to avoid the slow rate of learning near the end points of the range, the input and output data were scaled into the interval [-1,1] by using the method maximum minimum and (premnmx). After a number of trail, the network parameters of the values considered by this study are as follows: Number of hidden layers= 2 Number of units in first hidden layer= 8 Number of units in second hidden layer= 6 Training cycles= 500

The MSE, as stated previously is adapted to provide a measure of the output network accuracy. For the above network the MSE for training and testing are 0.0015, 0.0021 respectively. Figure (4) shows convergence history of this network for both training and testing data.





7- Discussion and Comparison of Prediction Models:-

To compare the neural network results with other well-known existing models, the same training and testing data are used to calculate the ultimate moment capacity of composite beams. Regarding all 90 beams the measured ultimate moment capacities are plotted against the calculated values using the ANN and AISC models as shown in Fig.(5) for training data and Fig.(6) for testing data.

To illustrate the overall trend of correlation, the theoretical line with $M_c/M_c=1$ (where M_c : is experimental moment, M_c : is calculated moment) is drawn in Figs.(4) and (5) alongwith the data points. The nearer the points gather around the diagonal line, the better are the predicted values. Figures (5) and (6) clearly show that the least scatter of data around the diagonal line confirms the fact that ANN based model is an excellent

predictor for the value of ultimate M. For comparison purpose, the values of MSE and R (where R is correlation coefficient) of the training and testing results for the models (ANN and AISC) are also listed in Table (1).

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} (t_i - y_i)^2 \dots (8)$$
$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} (y_i - y^-)(t_i - t^-)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} (y_i - y^-)^2 (t_i - t^-)^2}} \dots (9)$$
$$t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} t_i, \ y^- = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} y_i \dots (10)$$

where, t_i : actual value, y_i : predicted value, n: number of values, t: mean of actual values, y: mean of predicted values.

It can be seen that ANN model gives the smallest MSE and the largest R for both training and testing data. In addition, the two prediction models have been compared by means of the average value (AVG), Standard deviation (STD), and coefficient of variation (COV) of the ratio of M_e/M_c. Table (2) shows that for the ratio of M_e/M_c the ANN model possesses the least COV value of 0.0012 (with AVG= 1.005 and STD=0.035) and 0.0014 (with AVG=1.01 and STD=0.06) for training and testing set respectively.

This proves that the prediction of ANN model is better than those of the AISC model.

Table (1) summary of values of MSE and R R MSE Models Testing Training Testing Training 0.992 0.980 ANN 0.0015 0.0021 0.0083 0.0098 0.970 0.955 ASIC Table (2) summary of values of AVG, STD and COV AVG STD COV Models Testing Testing Training Training Training Testing ANN 1.0050 1.010 0.0350 0.060 0.0012 0.0014 AISC 1.1035 1.135 0.0917 0.124 0.0832 0.1093





Also the above network was used to stud the effect of varies input parameter on the behaviour of composite beam with ribbed slab.





Figure (7) shows the variation of ultimate moment capacity of composite beam with concrete slab compressive strength. For an increase in compressive strength from 18 to 32 MPa, the increase

Basrah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

مجلة البصرة للعلوم الهندسية /العدد الثاني/ 2010

in the ultimate moment capacity was 12%.

Figure (8) shows the variation of ultimate moment capacity with steel beam yielding stress, fy. This figure shows that an increase in yielding stress from 230 to 420 MPa, leads to increase in the ultimate moment capacity of 36%.

Slab dimensions also affect the beam behaviour. The slab thickness has a marked effect on strength but slab width is less effective. Figure (9) illustrates the effect of slab thickness on the ultimate moment capacity. Beam with deeper concrete slab have higher ultimate capacity. A small increase in ultimate moment capacity of composite beams occurs with the increase in width of concrete slab. This can be seen in Fig.(10).

Figure (11) shows how the ultimate moment capacity of beam varies with connector strength (degree of interaction). The ultimate moment capacity and connector strength are nonlinearly related. The increase in connecter strength causes increase of ultimate capacity, for example at 50% composite action, the ultimate strength is about 72% of fully composite ultimate strength. But with increase of connecter strength (degree of interaction) greater than 1.25 the increase in the ultimate moment capacity is small.









Basrah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

مجلة اليصرة للطوم الهندسية /الحد الثاني/ 2010



Fig.(9) Variation of ultimate moment capacity with variation of concrete slab thickness



Fig.(10) Variation of ultimate moment capacity with variation of concrete slab width

9- Conclusions:-

In this study it is found that the neural network model is very effective in the analysis of composite beams with metal deck slab with incomplete



Fig.(11) Variation of ultimate moment capacity with variation of connectors strength

between concrete slab and steel beam. The configuration 8-6 nodes in (first and second hidden layer) is proved to be very efficient for predicting the ultimate strength of such system which gives minimum mean square error for both training and testing data. The neural network model is proved to give results more accurate than those given by AISC method. The proposed network used to explor the effect of varation of input parameter on behaviour of composite beam with ribbed slab. The ultimate strength increases by an amount of 12% with increase of compressive strength from 18 to 32. The an increase in yielding stress from 230 to 420 MPa, leads to increase in the ultimate moment capacity of 36%.

Slab dimensions also affect the beam behaviour. The slab thickness has a

Basrah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

مجلة البصرة للطوم اليندسية /العدد الثاني/ 2010

marked effect on strength but slab width is less effective. The increase in connecter strength causes increase of ultimate moment capacity, for example at 50% composite action, the ultimate strength is about 72% of fully composite ultimate strength.

References

- Panandiker, N., "General Review of Composite Bridge Design", Advances in Bridge Engineering, March, 2006, pp.499-505.
- 2- Johnson, R. P., "Composite Structures of Steel and Concrete", Vol. 1, Beams, Colmun, Frames and Application in Building, Crosby-lockwood Staples, London, 1975, 190 pp.
- 3- Zoltan, V., and Istvann, "Composite Slab Design". 2nd Int., PHD symposium in Civil Engineering, Budapest, 1998.
- 4- Ansourian, P. and Roderick, J. W., " Analysis of Composite Beams", Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, Vol.104 ,No. ST10, October 1978, pp.1631-1645.
- 5- Vanluchen, R., and Sun, R., "Neural Networks in Structural Engineering ", Microcomp. Civ. Engrs, 1990, pp. 207 -215.
- 6- Jenkins, W., "Approximate Analysis of Structural Grillages Using a Nural Network", Proc. Inst. Civ. Engrs.

Structure & Building, Aug., 1997, pp. 355-365.

- 7- Guang, and Wang, J., "Prediction of Compressive Strength of Concrete by Neural Networks", Cement and Concrete Research, 30(8), 2000, pp.1245-1250.
- 8- Hadi, N., "Neural Networks applications in concrete structures", Computeral Structure, Vol. 81, Issue 6, 2002, pp. 373-381.
- 9- Pathak, R., and Gupta, A., "Analysis & Design of Tubular Girder forBridge Application Using Artificial Intelligence", Advance in Bridge Engineering, March 2006, pp 653-668.
- 10- Lau, C., "Neural Networks: theoretical foundation and analysis", New York: IEEE Press, 1992.
- 11- Riedmiller, M., and Braun. H., "A Direct Adaptive Method for FasterBackpropagation Learning: The RPROP Algorithm", IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, 1993, pp. 586-591.
- Neural Network Toolbox User's Guide: for Use with MATLAB 2004.
- 13- Grant, J. A., Fisher, J. W., and Slutter, R. G., "Composite Beams with Formed Steel Deck." Engineering Journal, AISC, Vol.14, No. 1, 1977, pp.24-43.
- 14- Robinson, H. and Wallace, I. W., "Composite Beams with Partial

Basrah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

مجلة البصرة للطوم الهندسية /الحد الثاني/ 2010

Connection." Meeting preprint No. 1549, ASCE Annual and National Environmental Engineering, St. Louis, MO. October 1971, pp. 18-22.

- 15- Fisher, J. W., "Design of Composite Beams with Formed Metal Deck." Engineering Journal, AISC, Vol. 7, No. 3, 1970, pp. 88-96.
- 16- Seek, W. G., Fisher, J. W., and Slutter, R. G., "Tests of Lightweight Composite Beams with Metal Decking.", Fritz Engineering Laboratory Report No. 200.70.458.1. Lehigh University, Bethlehem, 1970.
- 17- Errera, S. J., "Analysis of Tests of Composite Steel and Concrete Beams with Mahon Steel Decking.", Structural Engineering Department, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. December, 1974.
- 18. Furlong, R. W. and Henderson, W. D., "Report of Load Tests on Composite Beams of Lightweight Concrete in Three-Inch Metal Deck with Stud Length as the Principle Variable.", University of Texas at Austin, 1975.
- 19- Allan, B., Yen, B. T., Slutter, R. G., and Fisher, J. W., "Comparative Tests on Composite Beams with Formed Metal Deck." Fritz Engineering Laboratory Report No.

20- Jones, B. T. (1975). "Composite Beam Test '3 + 2' BPTC Technical Data Report No. 75-16." H. H. Robertson Co., Pittsburgh, PA. September, 1975.

- 21- Lacap, D. A. "Composite Beam Tests (W24x61 and W24x55) for Columbia University Life Science Bldg., New York, New York Test Nos. 174-75 and 175-75". Inland-Ryerson Construction Products Co., 1975.
- 22- Robinson, H., "Multiple Stud Shear Connectors in Deep Ribbed Metal Deck", Can. J. Civ. Eng., Vol.15, No.4, pp.553-569.
- 23- Jayas, B. S., and Hosain, M. U., "Behavior of Headed Studs in Composite Beams: Full-Size Tests", Can. J. Civ. Eng., Vol.16, No.5, 1988, pp.712-724.
- 24- Gibbings, D. R., Easterling, W. S. and Murray, T. M., "Influence of Steel Deck on Composite Beam Strength," Composite Construction in Steel and Concrete", American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, NY, 1993, pp.758-770.

Notation

AINN	artificial neural network	
A _s	area of steel beam	

marked effect on strength but slab width is less effective. The increase in connecter strength causes increase of ultimate moment capacity, for example at 50% composite action, the ultimate strength is about 72% of fully composite ultimate strength.

References

- Panandiker, N., "General Review of Composite Bridge Design", Advances in Bridge Engineering, March, 2006, pp.499-505.
- 2- Johnson, R. P., "Composite Structures of Steel and Concrete", Vol. 1, Beams, Colmun, Frames and Application in Building, Crosby-lockwood Staples, London, 1975, 190 pp.
- 3- Zoltan, V., and Istvann, "Composite Slab Design", 2nd Int., PHD symposium in Civil Engineering, Budapest, 1998.
- 4- Ansourian, P. and Roderick, J. W., " Analysis of Composite Beams", Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, Vol.104, No. ST10, October 1978, pp.1631-1645.
- 5- Vanluchen, R., and Sun, R., "Neural Networks in Structural Engineering ", Microcomp. Civ. Engrs, 1990, pp. 207 -215.
- 6- Jenkins, W., "Approximate Analysis of Structural Grillages Using a Nural Network", Proc. Inst. Civ. Engrs.

Structure & Building, Aug., 1997, pp. 355-365.

- 7- Guang, and Wang, J., "Prediction of Compressive Strength of Concrete by Neural Networks", Cement and Concrete Research, 30(8), 2000, pp.1245-1250.
- 8- Hadi, N., "Neural Networks applications in concrete structures", Computeral Structure, Vol. 81, Issue 6, 2002, pp. 373-381.
- 9- Pathak, R., and Gupta, A., "Analysis & Design of Tubular Girder forBridge Application Using Artificial Intelligence", Advance in Bridge Engineering, March 2006, pp 653-668.
- 10- Lau, C., "Neural Networks: theoretical foundation and analysis", New York: IEEE Press, 1992.
- 11- Riedmiller, M., and Braun. H., "A Direct Adaptive Method for FasterBackpropagation Learning: The RPROP Algorithm", IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, 1993, pp. 586-591.
- 12- Neural Network Toolbox User's Guide: for Use with MATLAB 2004.
- 13- Grant, J. A., Fisher, J. W., and Slutter, R. G., "Composite Beams with Formed Steel Deck." Engineering Journal, AISC, Vol.14, No. 1, 1977, pp.24-43.
- 14- Robinson, H. and Wallace, I. W., "Composite Beams with Partial

Basrah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

مجلة البصرة للعلوم الهندسية /العدد الذاني/ 2010

Connection." Meeting preprint No. 1549, ASCE Annual and National Environmental Engineering, St. Louis, MO. October 1971, pp. 18-22.

- 15- Fisher, J. W., "Design of Composite Beams with Formed Metal Deck." Engineering Journal, AISC, Vol. 7, No. 3, 1970, pp. 88-96.
- 16- Seek, W. G., Fisher, J. W., and Slutter, R. G., "Tests of Lightweight Composite Beams with Metal Decking.", Fritz Engineering Laboratory Report No. 200.70.458.1. Lehigh University, Bethlehem, 1970.
 - 17- Errera, S. J., "Analysis of Tests of Composite Steel and Concrete Beams with Mahon Steel Decking.", Structural Engineering Department, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. December, 1974.
 - 18- Furlong, R. W. and Henderson, W. D., "Report of Load Tests on Composite Beams of Lightweight Concrete in Three-Inch Metal Deck with Stud Length as the Principle Variable.", University of Texas at Austin, 1975.
 - 19- Allan, B., Yen, B. T., Slutter, R. G., and Fisher, J. W., "Comparative Tests on Composite Beams with Formed Metal Deck." Fritz Engineering Laboratory Report No. 200.76.458.1. Lehigh University, Bethlehem, 1976.

- 20- Jones, B. T. (1975). "Composite Beam Test '3 + 2' BPTC Technical Data Report No. 75-16." H. H. Robertson Co., Pittsburgh, PA. September, 1975.
- 21- Lacap, D. A. "Composite Beam Tests (W24x61 and W24x55) for Columbia University Life Science Bldg., New York, New York Test Nos. 174-75 and 175-75", Inland-Ryerson Construction Products Co., 1975.
 - 22- Robinson, H., "Multiple Stud Shear Connectors in Deep Ribbed Metal Deck", Can. J. Civ. Eng., Vol.15, No.4, pp.553-569.
 - 23- Jayas, B. S., and Hosain, M. U., "Behavior of Headed Studs in Composite Beams: Full-Size Tests", Can. J. Civ. Eng., Vol.16, No.5, 1988, pp.712-724.
 - 24- Gibbings, D. R., Easterling, W. S. and Murray, T. M., "Influence of Steel Deck on Composite Beam Strength," Composite Construction in Steel and Concrete", American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, NY, 1993, pp.758-770.

Notation

ANN	artificial neural network
A,	area of steel beam
AVG	Average values
ba	concrete slab width

Basrah Journal for Engineering Science/No.2 /2010

مجلة البصبرة للعلوم الهندمبية /العدد الثاني/ 2010

C	compressive force in	W_{ji}	weights between the
	concrete slab		input and hidden layer
cov	coefficient of variation	W_{kj}	weights between the
đ	depth of steel beam		hidden and output layer
е	distance from center of	wr	steel deck width
	steel to the center of	yi.	predicted value
	compressive stress	У	mean of predicted
	block in the slab		values
Е	error between the	θj	biases for the hidden
	calculated and desired		layer
	value	θ _k	biases for output layer
fc	cylinder compressive		
	strength of concrete		
fy	yielding strength of		
	steel section		
h,	metal deck height		
ì	moment of inertia of		
	steel section		
N	number of connectors		
Mc	calculated moment		
Me	experimental moment		
Mp	steel section plastic		
	moment		
M_{pw}	steel web plastic		
	moment		
MSE	mean square error		
Pyw	web yield force		
R	correlation coefficient		
S	connector strength		
STD	standard deviation		
to	concrete slab thickness		
ti	actual value		
ť	mean of actual values		

.

مجلة البسيرة للطوم الهندسية /العدد الثاني/ 2010